

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,162 07/11/2001		Yuhzoh Tsuda	29900-20484.00	3874
25226	7590 10/08/2002			
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER	
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			BLUM, DAVID S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	11
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9h /			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/904,162	TSUDA ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David S Blum	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application at a second application and applications are second as a second application and applications are second as a second application and application are second as a second application and application are second as a second application and a second application application are second as a second application are second as a second as a second application are second as a seco	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	• • • • •				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: \\ \( \int \text{DNL} \)					
Claim(s) objected to: MONL					
Claim(s) rejected: 25-36.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1 / all 4/			
10. Other:		CARL WHITEHEAD JR. PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
	·				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issue of the stripe of the n-th patterned mask being twisted from the stripe of the (n+1)-th patterned mask .